Editorial Note

Building the Foundation of Lifelong Health: The First 1,000 Days as Malaysia's Child Health Priority

Teck-Hock Toh[1,2], Agnes Suganthi Thinakaran[3], Nur Shuhaidatul Sarmiza Abdul Halim[4], Intan Juliana Abd Hamid[4]

Author's Affiliation:
1 - Department of Paediatrics and Clinical Research Centre, Sibu Hospital, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia
2 - Faculty of Medicine, Nursing & Health Sciences, SEGi University, Kota Damansara, Selangor, Malaysia
3 - Department of Paediatrics, Hospital Raja Permaisuri Bainun, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia
4 - Department of Clinical Medicine, Pusat Kanser Tun Abdullah Ahmad Badawi (PKTAAB), Universiti Sains Malaysia, Bertam, 13200, Kepala Batas, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia

Correspondence:
Toh Teck Hock, email: tohth@moh.gov.my

Received on: 28-Sept-2026 Accepted for Publication: 30-Sept-2026

INTRODUCTION

The Second Malaysian Conference on Paediatrics and Child Health (2nd MCPaCH), held in Penang, Malaysia, from 7 to 10 May 2026, brought together clinicians, researchers, and child-health advocates from across the country around a single unifying theme: *First 1,000 Days: Building the Foundation of Lifelong Health.* The choice was deliberate. The period from conception to a child's second birthday is a window of extraordinary biological plasticity, during which nutrition, nurturing care, and early experience shape brain architecture, immunity, and metabolic programming for decades to come.[1,2] This capacity is a double-edged sword: while a positive and nurturing environment is essential for helping a child reach their full potential, adverse childhood experiences can lead to negative biological and neurological adaptations.[3] Consequently, investments made during this critical period are profoundly pivotal and yield some of the highest returns in public health.[4] Missed opportunities during this period can have lasting consequences, although supportive interventions remain important throughout childhood.

The theme also serves as a moral appeal for Malaysia. Despite steady economic growth, the prevalence of stunting among children under five remained at 21.2% in the 2022 National Health and Morbidity Survey, particularly among indigenous and other marginalized communities.[5] These figures are not merely nutritional statistics; they are early signals of how well the systems protect children before and just after birth. This special issue brings together the scholarship presented and discussed at the conference and seeks to translate the first 1,000 days from an aspiration into a shared, actionable national agenda.

Equity, Systems, and Advocacy

One cluster of papers looks beyond the individual child and examines the systems that surround them. Amar-Singh and Ganapathy highlight persistent inequities in first-1,000-days outcomes among Malaysia's most vulnerable children and examine the systemic and policy factors that contribute to these disparities. Teck-Hock

Toh et al. show how collaborative, cross-sectoral child-health systems can translate scientific evidence into better outcomes, while Sabeera Begum et al. define the pediatrician's dual role as both clinician and advocate. Aw-Zien Toh et al. extend the conversation from survival to flourishing by synthesizing a multi-stakeholder forum on parenting and resilience, and reminding us that healthy development is relational, not merely biological.

Nourishing the Foundation

A second cluster addresses the nutritional aspects of the first 1,000 days. Teh advocates for breastfeeding to be protected across the vulnerable transition from hospital to home, while Hii examines appropriate complementary feeding as a practical measure for preventing stunting. Gan reframes stunting not merely as a narrow nutrition indicator but as Malaysia's most urgent and overlooked child health issue. That population-level concern is made tangible at the bedside by Leu et al., whose case series of kwashiorkor in Sarawak demonstrates that severe acute malnutrition still occurs in Malaysian wards, often concealed by edema. Foong et al. complete the picture with Kangaroo Mother Care, illustrating how a low-cost, evidence-based intervention for preterm infants can be scaled through adaptive training.

From Population to Bedside

While the first 1,000 days provide the central framework for this special issue, several contributions extend beyond this developmental window. Together, they reinforce a broader principle that begins in early life but remains relevant throughout childhood: timely recognition, equitable access to care, and avoidance of preventable diagnostic delay. The third theme is clinical vigilance. Instructive case reports remind us that serious childhood conditions may present atypically and be easily missed, particularly when initial symptoms mimic more common disorders or access to specialized diagnostic services is limited, particularly in district and rural settings. Kho et al. describe maple syrup urine disease (MSUD) presenting as neonatal encephalopathy where expanded newborn screening was unavailable, and Muhammad Aiman et al. report on giant bladder calculi from cystinuria whose diagnosis was delayed by limited rural diagnostic capacity. Ong et al., Asuwani et al., Ng et al., and Siti Akma et al. further illustrate diagnostic challenges, ranging from cutaneous larva migrans in early infancy and neonatal appendiceal perforation to near-fatal peanut aspiration initially mistaken for asthma, and Castleman disease presenting as refractory anemia. Taken together, these cases make a quiet yet powerful call for early recognition of serious illness in neonates and young children, coupled with equitable access to timely and appropriate diagnostic services.

Taken together, these papers carry a common message. Good child health outcomes depend not on a single intervention, but on timely care, supportive families, functioning health systems, and the social environment in which children grow. While the first 1,000 days provide the foundation, the responsibility to protect that foundation continues throughout childhood. Whether we are addressing malnutrition, developmental needs, inequitable access to care, or delayed recognition of serious illness, opportunities for prevention and early intervention should not be missed.

From Evidence to Action

The conference makes one message clear: Malaysia does not lack evidence; it lacks consistent implementation. We propose a whole-of-nation first 1,000 days strategy which will reach high-risk families earlier and more reliably than either the individual clinic or hospital working on its own. Four priorities emerge from this issue.

Firstly, as Gan proposes, Malaysia should strengthen and appropriately resource its community-based health workforce to deliver nutrition and developmental support closer to households, particularly for families at greatest risk. This should include sustained breastfeeding support beyond hospital discharge, as Teh argues, together with complementary feeding guidance, growth monitoring, and timely linkage to health and social services[6].. Rather than transplanting overseas models wholesale, we should learn from what works elsewhere, then test their local feasibility and cost-effectiveness, and adapt them to our health system, communities, and cultures before scale-up.

Second, as Amar-Singh and Ganapathy urge, universal birth registration and the use of disaggregated data are essential so that no child, especially those who are indigenous, stateless, displaced, or otherwise marginalized, remains out of sight of the system.

Third, stronger recognition of and access to early medical care for the very young are needed. This means not only expanding metabolic and newborn screening, which is cost-saving for several treatable conditions,[7] but also sharpening frontline clinicians' ability to recognize the sick neonate or infant who requires specialized care. Early access to appropriate care must be supported by removing physical and systemic barriers, including distance, referral delays, limited diagnostic capacity, and workforce gaps. This is an agenda that the World Health Organization urges countries to build into routine health services.[8] The case reports in this special issue, including MSUD diagnosed in the absence of neonatal screening and cystinuria identified only after subsequent metabolic investigation, illustrate what can be lost when timely recognition and diagnostic access are limited, particularly in rural areas.

Lastly, intersectoral action should be made statutory rather than merely aspirational. As Gan calls for, health, nutrition, education, and social protection must be better integrated around the needs of children and families. These strategies should address the social determinants of health, reduce inequities, and ensure the best start for every child, regardless of social status, race, or gender. This is an urgent call for a coordinated policy that prioritizes the first 1,000 days in the national health agenda, given its critical and long-lasting impact. Above all, pediatricians and other child-health professionals must claim their place not only at the cot-side but also at the policy table, advocating for child-rights-based budgeting and for the nurturing care that every child needs if they are to survive, thrive, and fulfill their potential.[2]

Conclusion

The first 1,000 days offer Malaysia a critical, time-limited opportunity to lay the foundation for lifelong health, with missed opportunities during this period carrying consequences that may extend well beyond childhood. The papers in this issue, spanning population science and single-patient lessons, show both how much we already know and how far implementation still has to travel. While the first 1,000 days provide the foundation, our responsibility to protect and strengthen that foundation continues throughout childhood. If the 2nd MCPaCH is to be remembered for one thing, let it be for moving the nation from measuring the problem to acting on what we know, building the foundation of lifelong health one child and one thousand days at a time.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent for publication: Not applicable.

Ethics approval: Not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. Black MM, Walker SP, Fernald LCH, Andersen CT, DiGirolamo AM, Lu C, et al; Lancet Early Childhood Development Series Steering Committee. Early childhood development coming of age: science through the life course. *Lancet*. 2017 Jan 7;389(10064):77-90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31389-7.
2. World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund, World Bank Group. *Nurturing care for early childhood development: a framework for helping children survive and thrive to transform health and human potential.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Available at https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/781de51d-4cef-482e-bb3b-c2b3becf3c9f/content. (Accessed September 5, 2026).
3. Toh TH. A review on the early life experience and lifelong health. *Malays J Paediatr Child Health,* May 2025; 31(S1):54-63. doi:10.51407/mjpch.v31iS1.380
4. Heckman JJ. Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science*. 2006 Jun 30;312(5782):1900-2. doi: 10.1126/science.1128898. PMID: 16809525.
5. Institute for Public Health. *National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2022: Maternal and Child Health.* Shah Alam: Ministry of Health Malaysia; 2022.
6. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al; Lancet Breastfeeding Series Group. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016 Jan 30;387(10017):475-90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7.

7. Bessey A, Chilcott J, Pandor A, Paisley S. The cost-effectiveness of expanding the UK Newborn Bloodspot Screening Programme to include five additional inborn errors of metabolism. *Int J Neonatal Screen*. 2020 Nov 20;6(4):93. doi: 10.3390/ijns6040093.

8. World Health Organization. *Strengthening capacity for newborn screening, diagnosis and management of birth defects: WHO technical consultation on planning and implementing services integrated into national health systems in low- and middle-income countries.* Geneva: World Health Organization; June 23, 2026. Available at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240122086. (Accessed September 5, 2026)